# Journal of Economics, Finance and Management Studies

ISSN (print): 2644-0490, ISSN (online): 2644-0504

Volume 07 Issue 02 February 2024

Article DOI: 10.47191/jefms/v7-i2-26, Impact Factor: 8.044

Page No: 1077-1082

# Interpersonal and Informational Justices as Correlates of the Whistle-Blowers' Intentions in Nigeria



#### ISAAC A. Power, PhD

Department of Business Administration, Faculty of Management Sciences, Delta State University, Abraka, Nigeria

ABSTRACT: This study examined whether interpersonal and informational justices are correlates of whistle-blowers' intentions among selected local government areas in Delta State, Nigeria. In this study, two dimensions of organizational justice (interpersonal and informational justices) were used. In order to carry out the study, questionnaires were administered to employees in six local government areas and data obtained were analyzed via descriptive and inferential statistical techniques. On the basis of the analysis, it was found that while there is a significant relationship between interpersonal distributive justice and whistle-blowers' intentions, an insignificant relationship was found between informational justices and whistle-blowers' intentions. Thus, only interpersonal justice serve as a correlate of the whistle-blowers' intentions. The study recommended the integration of internal disclosures via code of ethics and honesty reporting to support whistle-blowers as well as in silencing and/or punishing the whistle-blowers via retaliatory measures put in place by management of public sector.

**KEYWORDS:** Interpersonal justice; Informational justice; Whistle-blower intention; Code of ethics; Honesty reporting JEL Classifications: M12: M19

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

The spread of corruption among public officers in the country and the urgent need to curb the devilish act brought about the approval of whistle blowing policy in Nigeria, December 2016, due to the recession in the country. The aim was the right to expose any illegal activity or wrong doings among top public officials. However, employees are faced with the problem of becoming a target of the culprit. Government has not come out with cogent policies that would protect the whistle blower. Similarly, Verschoor (2005) opined that 44 percent of employees who become aware of individual or corporate wrong doing do not report or disclose their observations to anyone. The main reasons why employees decide not to speak against corporate wrong doing are likely of remedial action and concern that their objections will not be kept private.

To blow the whistle is not an easy task, it needs courage, moral evaluation and one has to put the interest of the public ahead of his own interest. Despite this, many praise whistleblowers for heroic and noble deeds; many also condemn them as malcontent and trouble makers for exposing wrongdoing of their colleagues and management. Till date evidence has shown that many whistle blowers have suffered negative consequences including loss of employment, threats of revenge and isolation (Tan & Ong, 2011). Organizational Justice refers to employee perceptions of fairness in the workplace or how employees view fairness in place of employment.

According to Greenberg (1987) referred to organization justice as employee's perception of their organization behaviours, decisions and actions and how these influences the employee's own attitudes and behaviours at work. Organizational Justice has been seen as an important variable that plays a major role in improving the performance of employees in organization, because different studies have shown, if employees are not treated fairly it result in reduced output from the employees as a natural response to the unfair treatment. However, these studies were done in institutions of higher learning, government agencies, Department and parastatals. Meanwhile such studies have not been done in Local Government Areas, hence this study.

#### 2. REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE

#### 2.1 Interpersonal Justice

Interpersonal justice reflects the degree to which people are treated with politeness, dignity, and respect by authorities. The experience of interpersonal justice can alter reactions to decision outcomes, because sensitivity can make people feel better about an unfavorable outcome. Interpersonal treatment includes interpersonal communication, truthfulness, respect, propriety of questions, and justification, and honesty, courtesy, timely feedback, and respect for rights (Colquitt et al., 2006).

Interpersonal justice entails the manner in which managers conduct their interpersonal dealings with employees, the degree to which managers treat employees with dignity as opposed to abuse or disrespect. To treat people justly is to deal with them fairly and equitably. Adams (1965) distinguished between distributive and procedural justice. People will feel that they have been treated justly in this respect if they believe that rewards have been distributed in accordance with their contributions, that they receive what was promised to them and that they get what they need.

#### 2.2 Informational Justice

Informational justice is the act of communicating relevant reasons for the procedures used in appraising, and the rational of the distribution of rewards to employee in the organization (Greenberg, 1993). This justice has been identified to have a strong effect on the emotional attachment of the employee and the organization (Arif & Junaidah, 2011). In return, affects organizational outcome behaviours such as organizational commitment, influenced by the environment of the organization. Organization environment such as the superiors' character plays an important role (Masterson, Bryne & Mao, 2005) and there is no argument on the distinct importance of this construct on the justice perception of the employee towards performance appraisal (Ambrose, 2002).

Informational justice is second new type of justice which focuses on explanations provided to people that convey information about why procedures were applied in a certain way or why outcomes were distributed in a certain manner in other words informational justice refers to the truthfulness and justification of information provided to employees. The appraisal that information is inadequate or untrue leads to the perceptions of injustice. Informational justice is thought to consist of factors that enhance individual perceptions of efficacy of explanations provided by the organizational agents.

### 2.3 Whistle-Blowing Intentions

Apparently, whistle blowing stems from moral motives of preventing unnecessary harm to others (Syed & Mohd, 2009), an agent who blows the whistle or is called as a whistleblower should use the proper internal channel and procedure to disclose any wrongdoing before public disclosure. Since whistle blowing stems from moral motives of preventing wrongdoing, the development of a sound ethical culture and moral sense of duty could be key levers to foster organizational members to report wrongdoing (Zakaria, 2015). Huang, Lo and Wu (2013) asserted that employees have higher intentions of whistle blowing when the Organization displays a more positive ethical climate underpinned by intense law and rules, relatively strong company profit or efficiency, or independence.

The whistleblower has evidence that would persuade reasonable people to perceive serious danger than can result from the violation. It is expected that those who have intention to blow the whistle have evaluated what is morally right or wrong of their decision and the interest of stakeholders involved (Syed & Mohd, 2009). In other words, the whistle blowing intentions aroused after one form of ethical judgment. Hunt and Vitell (1996) described and explained how people arrive at ethical judgment. Hunt and Vitell (1996) then expounded their earlier theory by incorporating the normative ethical theory namely teleology and deonlogy as evaluation for ethical judgment. These two evaluations are believed to have a significant impart towards ethical judgment.

The perception of trust, safety, justice, and ethic in working environment are considered to be important variables affecting whistle blowing intention which is still a complex decision-making process and a dilemma for employees involved in sounding the alarm on the wrongdoings and malpractices. This is substantiated by Seifert, Stammerjohan and Martin (2014) when emphasizing that trust to supervisor and to organization are key factors that mediate the relationship between organizational justice and the likelihood of whistle blowing. Accordingly, Seifert, Sweeney, Joireman and Thornton (2010) argued that the organizational justice increases the likelihood of whistle blowing.

#### 3. RESEARCH METHODS

This study employed quasi-experimental design to obtain research data on the correlates between whistle-blowers' intentions, interpersonal and informational justices in six (6) selected local government areas in the three (3) senatorial districts in Delta State, Nigeria. Due to the large population of the area of study, two hundred and fifty (250) employees were used and questionnaire

was the main instrument of data collection designed on five point scale of strongly agree, agree, disagree, strongly disagree and undecided.

Data obtained were analyzed using simple regression statistical technique. As observed by several authors (such as Okoro & Egberi, 2020; Okoro & Ekwueme, 2020; Odiri, 2020; Okoro & Egberi, 2019; Okoro & Egbunike, 2017; Odiri, 2016; Odiri, 2015; Odiri, 2014; and Okoro & Kigho, 2013), simple regression estimation tool is vital in evaluating the individual correlate between the dependent and the independent variables of a study. In view of the above, the following simple regression models were estimated:

$$WB_{i} = \alpha_{0} + \beta_{3}IJ_{i} + \mu_{it}$$

$$WB_{i} = \alpha_{0} + \beta_{4}IFJ_{i} + \mu_{it}$$

WBi = Whistle-Blowing intentions; IJ = Interpersonal Justice; IFJ = Informational Justice; Ut = Error term;  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  = Regression coefficients

#### 4. RESULTS

Table 1: Analysis of Questions on Interpersonal Justice

S/N	Question Items	N	Mean	SD	Decision
1	He/She treats me in a polite manner	250	3.20	0.87	Valid
2	He/She treats me with dignity.	250	3.02	0.98	Valid
3	He/She treats me with respect	250	2.77	0.92	Valid
4	He/She refrains from improper remarks or comments.	250	2.97	0.88	Valid
	Grand Mean & Standard Deviation		2.99	0.91	VALID

Source: Field Survey, 2023

Table 1 captured the extent to which interpersonal justice is utilized among the selected LGAs in Delta State. The result showed that all the four (4) items scored above 2.50 cut-off point of the mean, indicating that all the items on interpersonal justice are used in arriving at employees pay and other work-related outcomes among the selected LGAs in Delta State. In addition, the grand mean of 2.99 and standard deviation of 0.91 further supports the above result. Consequently, to a large extent, interpersonal justice is utilized in determining pay and other work outcomes among the selected LGAs in Delta State.

Table 2: Analysis of Questions on Informational Justice

S/N	Question Items	N	Mean	SD	Decision
1	Information is tailored to specific needs	250	3.33	0.91	Valid
2	Procedures used to make decision about complaint are thoroughly explained	250	3.14	0.92	Valid
3	Explanations regarding the procedures used to make decision about complaint are reasonable	250	2.88	0.96	Valid
4	Information are communicated in details/timely	250	3.09	0.92	Valid
	Grand Mean & Standard Deviation		3.11	0.93	VALID

Source: Field Survey, 2023

Table 2 captured the extent to which informational justice is utilized among the selected LGAs in Delta State. The result showed that all the four (4) items scored above 2.50 cut-off point of the mean, indicating that all the items on informational justice are used in arriving at employees pay and other work-related outcomes among the selected LGAs in Delta State. In addition, the grand mean of 3.11 and standard deviation of 0.93 further supports the above result. Consequently, to a large extent, informational justice is utilized in determining pay and other work outcomes among the randomly selected LGAs in Delta State.

Table 3: Blowing Intentions (WBI) and Interpersonal Justice (IJ)

Source	SS	df	MS		Number of obs	=	250
					F( 1, 248)	=	36.35
Model	168.556461	1 1	68.556461		Prob > F	=	0.0000
Residual	1149.84347	248 4	.63646559		R-squared	=	0.1278
					Adj R-squared	=	0.1243
Total	1318.39993	249 5	.29477883		Root MSE	=	2.1532
	•						
wb	Coef.	Std. Er	r. t	P> t	[95% Conf.	In	terval]
ij	-3.34106	.554121	6 -6.03	3 0.000	-4.432444	-2	.249675
_cons	27.17649	2.1432	4 12.68	0.000	22.95522	3	1.39776
	L						

Source: Field Survey, 2023

Table 3 showed the regression result between whistle-blowing intentions (WBI) and Interpersonal justice (IJ) among the selected LGAs in Delta State. From the table, it was found that R<sup>2</sup> is 0.1278 which suggests 12.78% explanatory ability of the estimation for the systematic variation in the dependent variable (whistle-blowing intention: WBI). The evaluation of slope coefficients of explanatory variables revealed the existence of negative relationship between whistle-blowing intentions (t=12.68) and interpersonal justice (t=-6.03). This implies that interpersonal justice negatively influences whistle-blowing intentions.

Table 4: Blowing Intentions (WBI) and Informational Justice (IFJ)

Source	SS	df MS		f MS		Number of obs		250
Model Residual	9.33518251 1309.06475	1 248		518251 348688	Prob > F R-squared		=	1.77 0.1848 0.0071
Total	1318.39993	249	5.294	177883		Adj R-squared Root MSE	=	0.0031
wb	Coef.	Std.	Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf.	In	terval]
ifj _cons	.8765431 10.92284	.6591 2.52		1.33 4.32	0.185	4216499 5.942531		.174736 5.90315

Source: Field Survey, 2023

Table 4 showed the regression result between informational justice (IFJ) and whistle-blowing intentions (WBI) among the selected LGAs in Delta State. From the table, it was found that R<sup>2</sup> is 0.0071 which suggests 0.71% explanatory ability of the estimation for the systematic variation in the dependent variable (whistle-blowing intentions: WBI). The evaluation of slope coefficients of explanatory variables revealed the existence of positive relationship between whistle-blowing intentions (t=4.32) and informational justice (t=1.33). This implies that informational justice positively influences whistle-blowing intention.

The result revealed that whistle-blowing intentions is significantly affected by interpersonal justice (IJ), indicating that there is significant relationship between whistle-blowing intention and interpersonal justice. This finding is in agreement with prior studies conducted by Hansen, Byrne &Kiersch (2013). On the other hand, it was found that whistle-blowing intentions is not significantly affected by informational justice (IFJ), indicating that there is no significant relationship between whistle-blowing intention and informational justice. This result agrees with prior studies conducted by Lavena (2016), and Tyler (2003)

# 5. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This study examined whether interpersonal and informational justices are correlates of whistle-blowers' intentions in selected local government areas in Delta State, Nigeria. The significance of this study lies on the fact that it was targeted at encouraging

employees to provide useful information that entails violation of government financial regulations, bribe, mismanagement, malpractice, harassment, fraud and theft of public assets. On the basis of the analysis, it was found that while there is a significant relationship between interpersonal distributive justice and whistle-blowers' intentions, an insignificant relationship was found between informational justices and whistle-blowers' intentions. Thus, only interpersonal justice serve as a correlate of the whistle-blowers' intentions.

The study recommended the integration of internal disclosures via code of ethics and honesty reporting to support whistle-blowers as well as in silencing and/or punishing the whistle-blowers via retaliatory measures put in place by management of public sector. This study contributes to knowledge by filing the lacuna in the management literature on interpersonal and informational justice as correlates of whistle-blowers' intentions in the Nigerian context.

#### **REFERENCES**

- 1) Adams, J.S. (1965). Inequity in social exchange. In L. Berkowitz:(Ed.), Advances in Experimental Social Psychology, 2(1), 267-299
- 2) Ambrose, M. L., & Cropanzano, R. (2003). A longitudinal analysis of organizational fairness: An examination of reactions to tenure and promotion decisions. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 88(2), 266-275.
- 3) Arif, H. & Junaidan, H., (2011). Role of Organizational justice in Determining Work Outcomes of National and Expatriate Academy Staff in Malaysia. *International Journal of Commerce and Management*, 21(1), 82-93.
- 4) Colquitt, J.A., Conlon, D.E., Wesson, M.L., Porter, C.O.L.H, & Ng, K.Y., 2001, 'Justice at the millennium: A meta-analytic review of 25 years of organizational justice', Journal of Applied Psychology, Vol.86, pp.425-445.
- 5) Greenberg, J. (1987). Reactions to procedural injustice in payment distributions: Do the means justify the ends? *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 72(1), 55-61.
- 6) Huang, C.-F., Lo, K.-L., & Wu, C.-F. (2013). Ethical Climate and Whistle-Blowing: An Empircal Study of Taiwan's Construction Industry. Pakistan Journal of Statistics, 29(5), 681-696.
- 7) Hunt, S.D., &Viteil, S. (1986). A general theory of marketing ethics. Journal of Macron marketing, 6:5-16.
- 8) Masterson, S. S., Byrne, Z. S., & Mao, H. (2005). *Interpersonal and informational justice: Identifying the differential antecedents of interactional justice behaviors*. In S. W. Gilliland, D. D. Steiner, D. P. Skarlicki, & K. van den Bos (Eds.), What motivates fairness in organizations? (pp. 79–103). Greenwich, CT: Information Age Publishing
- 9) Odiri, V.I.O. & Akpocha, N. (2023). Human resource practices and employees performance in Nigerian public universities. *International Journal for Research Trends and Innovations, 8*(7), 227-236
- 10) Odiri, V.I.O. (2014). Towards communal peace and unity in Nigeria. *Journal of Social and Management Sciences*, *9*(2), 36-41
- 11) Odiri, V.I.O. (2015). Effect of inventory management techniques on sales effectiveness in Nigerian breweries Plc., Lagos. *Ilorin Journal of Management Sciences*, 2(2),73-80
- 12) Odiri, V.I.O. (2016). Participative leadership and organizational performance: Empirical analysis of quoted oil firms on the Nigerian stock exchange. Journal of Academic Research in Economics, 8(2), 287-293
- 13) Odiri, V.I.O. (2020). Information communication technology and organizational performance: Experience from Nigerian manufacturing subsector. *Journal of Social and Management Sciences*, *15*(1), 92-98
- 14) Okoro, E.G. & Kigho, E. (2013). Why poverty? The effect on standard of living, health and education in Nigeria: A review of conceptual issues, *Journal Research in Peace, Gender and Development*, *3*(2), 18-23
- 15) Okoro, G.E. & Egberi, K.A. (2019). Peace accounting and its implication on economic growth: An autoregressive conditional heteroskedasticity approach. *Journal of Advanced Research in Management, Romania*, 10(2), 70-74
- 16) Okoro, G.E. & Egberi, K.A. (2020). COVID19 pandemic cases/deaths in selection regions: Need for policy recommendations. *Management and Economics Research Journal*, 6(3), 1-7
- 17) Okoro, G.E. & Egbunike, P.A. (2017). Impact assessment of foreign direct investment, oil revenue on economic prosperity in Nigeria. *Journal of Academic Research in Economics*, *9*(2), 130-136
- 18) Okoro, G.E. & Ekwueme, C.M. (2020). Does spirituality belong to accounting? Insights from morality, relevance and fairness dogmas. *Jalingo Journal of Social and Management Sciences*, 2(4), 118-125
- 19) Seifert, D. L., Sweeney, J. T., Joireman, J., & Thornton, J. M. (2010). The Influence of Organizational Justice on Accountant Whistleblowing. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 35(7), 707-717.
- 20) Tan, P.M. & Ong, S.F. (2011). Comparative analysis of whistleblower protection legislation in England, U.S.A and Malaysia. *African Journal of Business Management,* 5(27), 11246-1 1255.

- 21) Transparency international, [2015]. corruption perceptions index overview, retrieved from http://www.transparency.org/epi/overview
- 22) Tyler, R.T. (2003). Procedural justice, legitimacy, and the effective rule of law. Crime and Justice, 30:283-357
- 23) Verschoor, C. (2005). Is this the age of whistle blowing? Strategic Finance, 86(7), 17-18.
- 24) Zakaria, M. (2015). Antecedent Factors of Whistleblowing in Organizations. Procedia Economics and Finance, 28, 230-234.



There is an Open Access article, distributed under the term of the Creative Commons Attribution – Non Commercial 4.0 International (CC BY-NC 4.0

(https://creativecommons.or/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits remixing, adapting and building upon the work for non-commercial use, provided the original work is properly cited.