Journal of Economics, Finance and Management Studies

ISSN (print): 2644-0490, ISSN (online): 2644-0504

Volume 08 Issue 07 July 2025

Article DOI: 10.47191/jefms/v8-i7-62, Impact Factor: 8.317

Page No: 4741-4749

Harnessing FinTech and Artificial Intelligence for Financial Inclusion and Entrepreneurial Growth: An Empirical Review

Godwin Emmanuel OYEDOKUN[1], Iheanyi Odinakachi ANYAHARA[2], Phillip Oyesola OYEDOKUN[3]

[1,3]Department of Management and Accounting, Faculty of Management and Social Sciences Lead City University, Ibadan, Nigeria

[2]Financial Reporting Council of Nigeria,

ABSTRACT: The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into Financial Technology (FinTech) has emerged as a key enabler of financial inclusion and entrepreneurial growth, particularly in developing economies. This research explores the impact of AI-enhanced FinTech solutions on enhancing access to financial services and fostering entrepreneurial opportunities. The study highlights how AI technologies, such as machine learning and natural language processing, are used to address key challenges in traditional banking, including credit access, fraud detection, and financial literacy. The paper explores the role of AI in bridging the gap between underserved populations and formal financial systems, with a particular focus on emerging markets in Africa and Asia. The findings show that AI-driven platforms have empowered millions of previously excluded individuals by enabling access to microcredit, insurance, and savings products. However, the study also addresses concerns regarding algorithmic biases, data privacy, and the digital divide, which may limit the effectiveness of AI in achieving inclusive growth. The empirical evidence underscores the need for tailored regulatory frameworks that promote innovation while safeguarding vulnerable populations. The paper concludes with policy recommendations aimed at fostering a conducive ecosystem for AI-powered FinTech in developing countries, emphasizing the importance of digital literacy, ethical AI governance, and cross-border regulatory cooperation. This review provides critical insights into the intersection of AI, FinTech, and economic development, offering a comprehensive understanding of how these innovations can be harnessed for sustainable financial inclusion.

KEYWORDS: Artificial intelligence, Digital inclusion, Entrepreneurial growth, Financial inclusion, FinTech, Regulatory frameworks

INTRODUCTION

The global financial landscape is undergoing a radical transformation driven by the convergence of Financial Technology (FinTech) and Artificial Intelligence (AI). These innovations have redefined traditional models of banking, credit access, savings, and investments, particularly in regions where financial exclusion remains a persistent challenge. FinTech broadly refers to the application of digital technologies to improve financial service delivery, while AI encompasses advanced computational techniques such as machine learning, natural language processing, and predictive analytics that enable autonomous, data-driven decision-making (Gomber et al., 2018; Arner, Barberis, & Buckley, 2017).

In developing economies, especially across Africa, South Asia, and Latin America, FinTech and AI are increasingly deployed to extend financial access to unbanked and underbanked populations. Platforms like M-Pesa in Kenya, Carbon in Nigeria, and Paytm in India have showcased how AI-enabled services can provide mobile-based microcredit, insurance, remittances, and advisory services, often at a fraction of the cost of traditional banking (Suri & Jack, 2016; Frost et al., 2019). More importantly, these platforms are serving as critical enablers of entrepreneurship by offering credit and financial tools to micro, small, and medium enterprises (MSMEs), many of which have historically faced credit constraints due to a lack of collateral or formal credit histories (Kshetri, 2021).

Despite these advances, financial exclusion remains a substantial barrier to inclusive economic growth. According to the World Bank (2022), approximately 1.4 billion adults worldwide still lack access to formal financial services, with women, rural dwellers, and informal workers disproportionately affected. For entrepreneurs in these segments, limited access to credit and investment services impedes business formation, scalability, and resilience. While AI-integrated FinTech solutions offer promising remedies, their deployment raises pressing concerns around digital inequality, algorithmic bias, data privacy, and fragmented regulatory

frameworks (Zetzsche, Buckley, Arner, & Barberis, 2020). These risks can undermine the inclusive potential of technological innovations if not properly managed.

Although FinTech and AI hold transformative potential to democratise financial access and empower entrepreneurship, their actual impact in developing regions remains uneven. The challenges of infrastructural gaps, digital illiteracy, regulatory inconsistencies, and ethical concerns around data and algorithmic fairness continue to hinder full-scale adoption and impact. Moreover, existing academic and policy discourses often examine FinTech and AI in isolation, rather than in an integrated context that reflects their synergistic potential for inclusive finance and entrepreneurial growth. There is a need for a holistic, empirically grounded analysis that synthesizes how AI-enhanced FinTech systems are advancing (or failing to advance) inclusive financial ecosystems that support entrepreneurship and sustainable development.

Objectives of the Study

This study is guided by the following key objectives to:

1. examine the role of AI-powered FinTech platforms in promoting financial inclusion, particularly for marginalized and underserved populations;
2. evaluate the extent to which FinTech and AI innovations contribute to entrepreneurial growth in emerging economies;
3. synthesize empirical findings on the opportunities and challenges inherent in leveraging AI-integrated FinTech solutions for inclusive development;
4. propose policy recommendations for stakeholders seeking to build equitable, AI-driven financial ecosystems.

The remainder of this paper is structured as follows: Section 2 offers a review of relevant literature, highlighting key trends, debates, and knowledge gaps. It also presents the theoretical framework, drawing on the Technology-Organization-Environment (TOE) Framework and Development Economics Theory to conceptualise the study. Section 2 also provides a detailed empirical review, synthesizing findings from global and regional case studies. While Section 3 presents a comprehensive methodology of the study. Section 4 discusses the main findings, interpreting their implications for financial inclusion and entrepreneurship. Section 5 concludes with a set of actionable policy recommendations

LITERATURE REVIEW

The convergence of Financial Technology (FinTech) and Artificial Intelligence (AI) has emerged as a significant force reshaping financial systems worldwide. FinTech broadly refers to the use of technology to enhance financial services, including mobile payments, digital lending, insurance technology (InsurTech), and blockchain-enabled transactions (Gomber, Kauffman, Parker, & Weber, 2018). AI, as a subfield of computer science, involves the creation of intelligent machines capable of performing tasks that typically require human cognition, including learning, reasoning, and self-correction (Bazarbash, 2019). When integrated, FinTech and AI yield novel platforms capable of automating financial processes, analysing consumer behaviour, and delivering personalized, scalable financial services.

AI and FinTech as Enablers of Financial Inclusion

One of the most celebrated contributions of FinTech, particularly when augmented by AI, is its potential to address long-standing issues of financial exclusion. According to Demirgüç-Kunt et al. (2018), financial inclusion entails ensuring that individuals and businesses have access to useful and affordable financial products and services that meet their needs. In many developing regions, traditional banking services are inaccessible to low-income populations due to physical distance, high transaction costs, and stringent documentation requirements.

AI-enhanced FinTech platforms have helped mitigate these barriers through mobile-based applications, digital wallets, and alternative credit assessment mechanisms. For example, companies like Tala and Branch in East Africa utilize machine learning algorithms to analyse non-traditional data such as mobile phone usage patterns, social media activity, and e-commerce transactions to evaluate creditworthiness in the absence of formal credit histories (Frost, Gambacorta, Huang, Shin, & Zbinden, 2019). These innovations have enabled millions of previously unbanked individuals to access microloans and participate in the formal financial system.

Similarly, in Nigeria, AI-powered platforms like Carbon and Renmoney have transformed digital lending by providing real-time credit decisions with minimal documentation, thereby increasing accessibility for low-income consumers and small businesses (Kshetri, 2021). In India, AI-enabled chatbots and digital KYC (Know Your Customer) technologies are streamlining onboarding processes for rural and semi-literate populations, making formal banking more inclusive (GSMA, 2020).

Harnessing FinTech and Artificial Intelligence for Financial Inclusion and Entrepreneurial Growth: An Empirical Review

AI-Driven FinTech and Entrepreneurial Growth

In addition to broadening access to financial services, AI and FinTech are increasingly recognized for their role in supporting entrepreneurial ecosystems. Entrepreneurs, particularly those in the micro, small, and medium enterprise (MSME) sector, often face capital constraints, high operational risks, and inadequate financial management tools. FinTech platforms equipped with AI capabilities offer solutions such as automated bookkeeping, predictive financial modelling, and personalized financial planning, which can enhance business efficiency and decision-making (Arner, Barberis, & Buckley, 2017).

Furthermore, digital platforms like crowdfunding sites, peer-to-peer (P2P) lending, and invoice financing many of which are AI-enabled have expanded entrepreneurs' access to diverse funding sources (Haddad & Hornuf, 2019). These innovations reduce the dependence on traditional banks, which often hesitate to lend to small or informal enterprises due to perceived credit risk. AI also plays a critical role in fraud detection and regulatory compliance, which are essential to safeguarding entrepreneurial activity in the digital space (Bazarbash, 2019).

Regulatory, Ethical, and Infrastructure Challenges

Despite these benefits, the literature also highlights several challenges associated with the adoption of AI in FinTech. A central concern is algorithmic bias, wherein automated systems may unintentionally reinforce existing inequalities if trained on biased data sets (Wagner, 2018). For example, if credit scoring algorithms rely heavily on male-dominated or urban-centric datasets, they may systematically disadvantage women, rural residents, or informal workers. This undermines the inclusive potential of AI-driven financial tools.

Data privacy is another major issue. The success of AI systems relies on large volumes of personal and behavioural data, raising concerns about surveillance, data misuse, and cyber threats, especially in jurisdictions lacking comprehensive data protection laws (Zetzsche, Buckley, Arner, & Barberis, 2020). Furthermore, fragmented regulatory frameworks and the absence of harmonized standards for AI ethics, digital identity, and cross-border transactions pose significant obstacles to the scalability and sustainability of AI-enhanced FinTech platforms (Arner et al., 2017).

From an infrastructural perspective, the "digital divide" remains a pressing barrier in many developing countries. Limited access to internet connectivity, low levels of digital literacy, and inadequate mobile infrastructure constrain the reach of AI-driven financial services, particularly in rural and marginalized areas (World Bank, 2022). These disparities risk reinforcing existing socio-economic inequalities, unless addressed through inclusive digital policies and capacity-building programs.

Emerging Trends and Gaps in the Literature

Recent scholarship is increasingly focused on designing inclusive and ethical AI frameworks for financial systems. Scholars advocate for "human-in-the-loop" approaches that combine automated intelligence with human oversight to mitigate bias and improve fairness (Mills & McCarthy, 2021). Others emphasize the need for collaborative regulatory sandboxes and public-private partnerships to test AI applications in a controlled yet innovative environment (Zetzsche et al., 2020).

However, empirical research remains relatively sparse in certain areas. For instance, few studies systematically evaluate the long-term impact of AI-driven FinTech on entrepreneurial success metrics such as business survival rates, scalability, and employment generation. Additionally, there is a need for more granular, context-specific investigations into how socio-cultural, institutional, and infrastructural variables mediate the adoption and impact of these technologies in diverse regions.

Theoretical Framework

This study is anchored in two interrelated theoretical perspectives: the Technology-Organization-Environment (TOE) Framework and Development Economics Theory. These frameworks provide a robust conceptual foundation for understanding the dynamics of FinTech and Artificial Intelligence (AI) adoption in fostering financial inclusion and entrepreneurial development, especially in emerging economies.

Technology-Organization-Environment (TOE) Framework

The TOE framework, developed by Tornatzky and Fleischer (1990), posits that the adoption and implementation of technological innovations within organizations are influenced by three contextual dimensions: (i) Technological context, which includes both existing and emerging technologies relevant to the organization; (ii) Organizational context, which refers to the internal capabilities, size, and management support of the firm; and (iii) Environmental context, which encompasses external factors such as competition, regulation, and the socio-economic ecosystem.

In the context of AI-driven FinTech, the TOE framework enables a structured analysis of adoption patterns by emphasizing how technology readiness (e.g., digital infrastructure and data systems), organizational characteristics (e.g., agility, leadership, and resources), and the regulatory and market environment influence the deployment of innovative financial solutions (Oliveira &

Martins, 2011). For example, FinTech startups with strong organizational support are more likely to experiment with AI-powered lending platforms, while firms operating in pro-innovation regulatory environments may more rapidly scale these solutions (Gangwar, Date, & Ramaswamy, 2015).

In developing economies, these dimensions are particularly salient. Limited technological infrastructure or regulatory uncertainty may hinder AI adoption, while targeted government support or high mobile penetration could catalyse rapid deployment of FinTech services (Arner, Barberis, & Buckley, 2016; Lai, Lin, & Tseng, 2014). Therefore, the TOE framework is instrumental in identifying both enabling and constraining factors that shape FinTech and AI implementation for inclusive financial services.

Development Economics Theory

Development Economics Theory offers complementary insights into the socio-economic rationale for promoting financial inclusion and entrepreneurship. This theoretical tradition emphasizes the role of inclusive financial systems in mobilizing savings, facilitating productive investment, and promoting equitable economic growth (Todaro & Smith, 2015). Financial exclusion is viewed as both a symptom and cause of underdevelopment, disproportionately affecting women, rural populations, and micro-entrepreneurs who lack access to formal credit and savings mechanisms.

AI-powered FinTech can act as a catalyst for overcoming these structural barriers by offering cost-effective, accessible, and tailored financial services (Demirgüç-Kunt et al., 2018). Development economists argue that reducing transaction costs, easing collateral requirements, and expanding outreach through digital platforms can unleash entrepreneurial potential, drive innovation, and reduce poverty (Banerjee & Duflo, 2011). In this view, FinTech and AI are not just technological tools but strategic interventions that support broader development goals, including those outlined in the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), particularly Goal 1 (No Poverty), Goal 8 (Decent Work and Economic Growth), and Goal 9 (Industry, Innovation and Infrastructure).

Synthesis of Theoretical Frameworks

The integration of the TOE framework and Development Economics Theory enables a multi-dimensional understanding of how FinTech and AI can be harnessed to foster inclusive finance and entrepreneurship. While the TOE framework provides a micro-to-meso level analysis of adoption drivers and institutional readiness, Development Economics Theory situates these innovations within a macro-developmental context. Together, they underscore the importance of aligning technological innovation with socio-economic goals, regulatory strategies, and institutional capacities.

This theoretical synthesis also highlights that while FinTech and AI hold the potential to transform financial and entrepreneurial landscapes, their outcomes are mediated by context-specific factors such as infrastructure, governance, digital literacy, and cultural attitudes toward technology (Kshetri, 2021). Thus, policy frameworks and business models must be contextually grounded, ethically designed, and inclusive in order to realize the transformative promise of these technologies.

Empirical Review

A growing body of empirical research demonstrates the transformative potential of FinTech and Artificial Intelligence (AI) in advancing financial inclusion and entrepreneurial activity, particularly in developing and emerging economies. These technologies are increasingly leveraged to overcome traditional barriers to finance such as high transaction costs, limited collateral, and inadequate physical banking infrastructure.

AI-Driven Credit Scoring and Loan Accessibility

One of the most prominent applications of AI in FinTech is in credit scoring and loan disbursement. Traditional credit scoring systems often exclude large segments of the population, particularly those in informal economies who lack formal financial histories. Empirical evidence shows that AI-enabled credit assessment using alternative data—such as mobile phone usage, utility payments, and social media behaviour has significantly broadened access to credit (Frost, Gambacorta, Huang, Shin, & Zbinden, 2019).

For instance, mobile lending platforms like Tala and Branch in Kenya, and Carbon in Nigeria, use machine learning algorithms to evaluate the creditworthiness of customers without requiring conventional banking data. These platforms have enabled millions of unbanked and underbanked individuals to obtain microloans, with repayment data feeding back into the system to improve future risk assessments (Kshetri, 2021).

Entrepreneurship and Digital Microfinance

Empirical studies also indicate that AI-integrated FinTech has played a crucial role in fostering micro and small enterprises. In India and Sub-Saharan Africa, AI-enabled microfinance platforms provide working capital and insurance products to entrepreneurs, many of whom are women or youth operating in the informal sector (GSMA, 2020). These services help bridge the gap between

financial exclusion and business growth, providing entrepreneurs with the liquidity needed to scale operations, purchase equipment, or hire labour.

In Ghana, the deployment of AI-driven mobile platforms such as Paylater and Fido has been associated with increased entrepreneurial engagement, particularly in rural areas where traditional banks have limited presence (World Bank, 2022). These services offer personalized financial products through mobile phones, reducing overhead costs for financial institutions and making it easier for micro-entrepreneurs to access services remotely.

Fraud Detection, Operational Efficiency, and Trust

AI technologies have also enhanced the efficiency, security, and reliability of digital financial systems. Machine learning algorithms are now routinely used for fraud detection, customer onboarding, and real-time transaction monitoring. These capabilities lower operational risks and increase consumer trust key factors in sustaining long-term adoption of FinTech services (Bazarbash, 2019). In Nigeria, for example, FinTech firms like Renmoney and FairMoney use AI to detect anomalies in customer behaviour and flag potential fraud, thereby reducing defaults and enhancing risk management.

Gender Inclusion and Social Impact

Empirical research also highlights the differential impact of FinTech and AI on various demographic groups. A study by Suri and Jack (2016) found that M-Pesa not only increased financial inclusion in Kenya but also had a measurable positive impact on women's financial independence and household consumption. However, other studies caution that unless AI systems are designed inclusively, they may replicate existing biases. Zetzsche, Buckley, Arner, and Barberis (2020) note that algorithmic bias and opaque AI models can inadvertently marginalize women, rural dwellers, and minorities especially when training data lacks demographic diversity or reinforces historical inequalities.

Regulatory Environment and Cross-Border Constraints

Despite these positive outcomes, the scalability of AI-embedded FinTech is often constrained by regulatory fragmentation and infrastructural gaps. Arner, Barberis, and Buckley (2017) argue that inconsistent regulatory frameworks across jurisdictions limit the cross-border functionality of FinTech platforms. In many African countries, data protection laws, cybersecurity protocols, and AI governance standards remain underdeveloped, leading to uncertainty among providers and users. Moreover, poor digital infrastructure and low internet penetration in rural regions restrict the reach and effectiveness of AI-based financial services (Demirgüç-Kunt et al., 2018).

Across multiple contexts, empirical studies converge on several critical insights. First, AI-powered FinTech services enhance access to financial products and stimulate entrepreneurial ventures, particularly among underserved populations. Second, these benefits are context-dependent and mediated by factors such as digital literacy, infrastructure, and regulatory maturity. Third, inclusive design and ethical AI governance are essential to avoid deepening existing inequalities.

These findings underscore the need for a holistic approach combining technological innovation with inclusive regulatory and infrastructural development to ensure that FinTech and AI contribute meaningfully to both financial inclusion and entrepreneurial growth.

METHODOLOGY

This study adopts a pure empirical review methodology to examine how Artificial Intelligence (AI)-enhanced Financial Technology (FinTech) platforms contribute to financial inclusion and entrepreneurial development, particularly in developing economies. An empirical review is especially suitable for capturing and synthesizing practical, real-world impacts, as it focuses on evidence generated through field-based studies, case analyses, and policy evaluations. The research design is guided by a narrative synthesis framework, which facilitates the organization and interpretation of heterogeneous empirical data across different regions and thematic contexts (Popay et al., 2006).

The review draws on a diverse set of empirical sources, including peer-reviewed journal articles, policy briefs, and industry reports. Specifically, the data selection was restricted to empirical studies published between 2010 and 2024. These were sourced from major academic databases such as Scopus, Web of Science, JSTOR, ScienceDirect, and Google Scholar. In addition to academic literature, grey literature was incorporated, particularly policy documents and evaluation reports from globally recognized institutions such as the World Bank, International Monetary Fund (IMF), African Development Bank (AfDB), and GSMA. Reports from sector-specific think tanks and research hubs, including the Cambridge Centre for Alternative Finance and McKinsey Global Institute, were also included to enrich the analysis.

Studies included in the review had to meet specific criteria: they must (1) provide empirical evidence on the impact of AI-enabled FinTech applications; (2) address outcomes related to either financial inclusion or entrepreneurship; and (3) focus on developing or emerging markets, especially in Africa and Asia. Studies that were purely theoretical or that addressed only traditional banking innovation without AI or FinTech integration were excluded from the analysis.

The analytical approach was structured around two central themes. The first focused on financial inclusion, evaluating the extent to which AI-integrated FinTech tools such as digital credit scoring, mobile wallets, and algorithmic risk assessments enable access to financial services among underserved populations (Demirgüç-Kunt et al., 2018). The second theme addressed entrepreneurial development, exploring how digital platforms and AI tools support micro, small, and medium-sized enterprises (MSMEs) by easing credit constraints, enhancing financial management, and creating scalable business models (Kshetri, 2021).

To contextualize and interpret these findings, the study draws on two theoretical frameworks: the Technology-Organization-Environment (TOE) Framework and Development Economics Theory. The TOE framework, developed by Tornatzky and Fleischer (1990), provides a structured lens for understanding how technology adoption is influenced by the interplay of organizational capabilities, technological infrastructure, and external environmental factors, such as regulation and market conditions. In the context of FinTech, this framework helps assess the institutional readiness and systemic barriers to AI adoption (Oliveira & Martins, 2011). Development Economics Theory, as articulated by Todaro and Smith (2015), serves as a complementary foundation, highlighting the economic and social imperatives of financial inclusion as drivers of poverty reduction, job creation, and inclusive growth.

Despite the strengths of this methodology, certain limitations are acknowledged. First, publication bias may affect the representativeness of the findings, as studies with negative or inconclusive results are less likely to be published. Second, geographic and infrastructural disparities mean that empirical data is more abundant in countries with relatively advanced FinTech ecosystems, potentially skewing results toward more successful case studies. Third, given the rapid pace of technological change, some empirical evidence may become outdated quickly (Zetzsche, Buckley, & Arner, 2020).

Nevertheless, by systematically synthesizing and interpreting empirical evidence across multiple sources, this methodology offers a robust and holistic understanding of how AI and FinTech technologies are shaping the landscape of inclusive finance and entrepreneurial growth.

FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

The synthesis of empirical evidence reveals that the integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into Financial Technology (FinTech) platforms has had a transformative impact on financial inclusion and entrepreneurial development, particularly in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs). Across multiple case studies, the findings converge on several thematic areas: improved access to finance, enhanced operational efficiency, strengthened risk management, and persistent structural and regulatory challenges.

Enhancing Financial Access through Alternative Data

A central finding of the empirical literature is the pivotal role of AI in expanding credit access for individuals and small businesses excluded from traditional banking systems. AI-powered credit scoring mechanisms utilizing alternative data sources such as mobile phone usage, e-commerce activity, and social media behaviour have emerged as critical tools in overcoming the limitations of conventional credit assessment models (Frost et al., 2019; Kshetri, 2021). For example, platforms like Tala and Branch in Kenya and Carbon in Nigeria use machine learning algorithms to evaluate borrowers' creditworthiness in real time, enabling the provision of microloans without formal credit histories or collateral (Suri & Jack, 2016).

These AI-enhanced models have been shown to improve both the inclusiveness and speed of lending decisions, fostering greater participation of underbanked populations in the financial ecosystem. Importantly, they also reduce transaction costs, thereby making lending more viable for financial institutions operating in low-margin environments (Bazarbash, 2019).

Operational Efficiency and Risk Management

AI has also contributed significantly to improving the operational efficiency of FinTech firms and financial institutions. Applications of AI in fraud detection, real-time compliance monitoring, and customer onboarding processes have streamlined administrative tasks and reduced human error (Arner, Barberis, & Buckley, 2017). In regions where financial fraud and identity theft are prevalent, such as parts of sub-Saharan Africa and Southeast Asia, these AI-driven tools have bolstered trust in digital finance by identifying suspicious transaction patterns and flagging anomalies (Bazarbash, 2019).

For entrepreneurs, especially micro, small, and medium-sized enterprises (MSMEs), the benefits include faster loan disbursements, enhanced transaction security, and more personalized financial services. AI-driven accounting and financial

planning tools also support small business development by providing real-time insights into cash flow, inventory management, and market trends (Kraussl, Nieuwerburgh, & Verwijmeren, 2021).

Addressing Regulatory and Ethical Challenges

Despite these promising outcomes, the findings highlight several challenges associated with the rapid deployment of AI in FinTech. Chief among these is the issue of algorithmic bias. Studies show that AI systems trained on non-representative or biased datasets can unintentionally perpetuate financial exclusion by disadvantaging women, rural populations, and minority groups (Wagner, 2018; Zetzsche, Buckley, Arner, & Barberis, 2020). For instance, models that rely on mobile phone metadata or online behavior may overlook consumers in areas with poor network coverage or low digital literacy.

Furthermore, the opaque nature of many AI algorithms makes it difficult for regulators and consumers to understand how decisions are made, raising concerns about accountability and fairness (Zetzsche et al., 2020). This "black box" problem underscores the need for ethical AI frameworks that promote transparency, explainability, and non-discrimination in financial decision-making.

In addition, regulatory fragmentation across jurisdictions poses a significant barrier to the scalability and interoperability of AI-powered FinTech platforms. While countries like Kenya and Nigeria have introduced sandbox frameworks and regulatory innovation hubs, many African and Asian markets still lack cohesive strategies for data governance, cybersecurity, and consumer protection (Arner et al., 2017). This creates uncertainty for FinTech innovators and limits cross-border service delivery.

The Persistent Digital Divide

Another salient issue emerging from the empirical literature is the persistence of the digital divide. While mobile penetration has increased in many developing regions, substantial disparities remain in internet access, digital literacy, and device affordability. These limitations disproportionately affect rural communities and low-income households, restricting their ability to fully participate in AI-enhanced financial services (Demirgüç-Kunt et al., 2018; GSMA, 2020).

Moreover, gender-based disparities in mobile phone ownership and digital skills continue to limit women's access to FinTech platforms, undermining inclusive development goals (UN Women, 2021). Addressing these gaps requires targeted public-private interventions, including digital education programs, affordable connectivity solutions, and inclusive product design.

Contextual and Institutional Enablers

Finally, the findings underscore the importance of contextual factors in shaping the outcomes of AI-integrated FinTech initiatives. The effectiveness of these technologies is highly contingent on institutional readiness, including regulatory support, digital infrastructure, and consumer trust. Countries that combine enabling environments with proactive innovation policies such as Rwanda and India have achieved greater success in scaling inclusive FinTech solutions (Kshetri, 2021; World Bank, 2022).

As such, the role of government is not merely to regulate but to facilitate ecosystems where innovation can flourish without compromising ethical standards or consumer protection. This calls for a multi-stakeholder approach that brings together regulators, technology developers, financial institutions, and civil society organizations.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This study has explored the transformative potential of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Financial Technology (FinTech) in enhancing financial inclusion and supporting entrepreneurial growth, particularly in emerging and developing economies. Through a synthesis of empirical literature, the findings reveal that AI-integrated FinTech platforms are reshaping traditional financial ecosystems by leveraging alternative data, automating decision-making, improving operational efficiency, and expanding access to credit and financial services for underbanked populations (Frost et al., 2019; Kshetri, 2021).

AI-driven credit scoring, fraud detection, and customer service innovations have significantly improved the delivery of financial services to marginalized individuals and micro-enterprises. These technologies are particularly effective in contexts where traditional financial infrastructure is limited but mobile and digital penetration is rising. Moreover, FinTech platforms have empowered entrepreneurs through access to capital, financial planning tools, and digital marketplaces, creating new pathways for economic inclusion and resilience (Bazarbash, 2019; Kraussl et al., 2021).

However, the benefits of AI-enhanced FinTech are not automatically inclusive. The study highlights persistent barriers, including algorithmic bias, data privacy risks, regulatory fragmentation, and the digital divide factors that can unintentionally exacerbate socio-economic inequalities if not addressed (Zetzsche et al., 2020; Wagner, 2018). The effectiveness of these innovations remains contingent upon the quality of governance, the inclusiveness of design, and the strength of supporting infrastructure and institutions.

Harnessing FinTech and Artificial Intelligence for Financial Inclusion and Entrepreneurial Growth: An Empirical Review

While AI and FinTech present enormous potential for inclusive finance and entrepreneurship, their deployment must be guided by proactive policy, inclusive design, and multi-stakeholder engagement. Only through such an integrated approach can technology serve as a true catalyst for sustainable and equitable economic development.

To fully harness the developmental potential of AI and FinTech while mitigating associated risks, the following policy recommendations are proposed:

1. **Develop Ethical AI and Data Governance Frameworks**: Policymakers must promote transparent, explainable, and accountable AI systems. National and regional regulatory authorities should collaborate with industry and academia to develop ethical AI principles tailored to financial services. These should include standards for non-discrimination, consumer consent, and algorithmic transparency (Wagner, 2018; Zetzsche et al., 2020).
2. **Invest in Digital Infrastructure and Literacy**: Governments and development partners should invest in expanding broadband access, mobile connectivity, and affordable devices, particularly in underserved rural areas. Equally important is digital financial education to equip citizens especially women and youth with the skills needed to use FinTech products safely and effectively (Demirgüç-Kunt et al., 2018; GSMA, 2020).
3. **Foster Regulatory Sandboxes and Innovation Hubs**: Regulatory sandboxes allow FinTech firms to test innovative products under controlled environments while informing regulatory development. Countries should adopt such models to encourage responsible experimentation and foster dialogue between innovators and regulators (Arner et al., 2017). These mechanisms can help balance financial innovation with risk mitigation.
4. **Promote Public-Private Partnerships for Inclusive FinTech**: Strategic partnerships between government, financial institutions, technology firms, and civil society are essential for scaling inclusive FinTech solutions. Public policy should incentivize innovation that targets excluded groups, such as women-led enterprises, informal workers, and rural businesses (UN Women, 2021).
5. **Establish Cross-Border Regulatory Harmonization**: To support regional financial integration and scale AI-enabled FinTech platforms, African and Asian countries should collaborate on harmonizing regulations related to data protection, cybersecurity, digital identity, and cross-border payments. Regional bodies like the African Union and ASEAN can play catalytic roles in facilitating this alignment (World Bank, 2022).
6. **Support Inclusive Entrepreneurship through FinTech**: National development plans should integrate FinTech tools into broader entrepreneurship support programs, including digital credit lines, e-commerce enablement, and AI-powered market intelligence platforms tailored for small businesses. This approach ensures that technological innovation directly contributes to job creation and sustainable livelihoods.

REFERENCES

1) Arner, D. W., Barberis, J., & Buckley, R. P. (2017). Fintech and regtech: Impact on regulators and banks. *Journal of Banking Regulation, 19*(4), 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1057/s41261-017-0038-3
2) Banerjee, A. V., & Duflo, E. (2011). *Poor economics: A radical rethinking of the way to fight global poverty.* Public Affairs.
3) Bazarbash, M. (2019). FinTech in financial inclusion: Machine learning applications in assessing credit risk (IMF Working Paper No. WP/19/109). International Monetary Fund. https://doi.org/10.5089/9781498313763.001
4) Demirgüç-Kunt, A., Klapper, L. F., Singer, D., Ansar, S., & Hess, J. (2018). *The global Findex database 2017: Measuring financial inclusion and the fintech revolution*. World Bank Publications. https://doi.org/10.1596/978-1-4648-1259-0
5) Frost, J., Gambacorta, L., Huang, Y., Shin, H. S., & Zbinden, P. (2019). BigTech and the changing structure of financial intermediation. *Economic Policy, 34*(100), 761–799. https://doi.org/10.1093/epolic/eiaa003
6) Gangwar, H., Date, H., & Ramaswamy, R. (2015). Understanding determinants of cloud computing adoption using an integrated TAM-TOE model. *Journal of Enterprise Information Management*, 28(1), 107–130. https://doi.org/10.1108/JEIM-08-2013-0065
7) GSMA. (2020). *State of the Industry Report on Mobile Money 2019*. GSM Association. https://www.gsma.com/sotir
8) Haddad, C., & Hornuf, L. (2019). The emergence of the global FinTech market: Economic and technological determinants. *Small Business Economics*, 53(1), 81–105. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11187-018-9991-x
9) Kraussl, R., Van Nieuwerburgh, S., & Verwijmeren, P. (2021). FinTech and entrepreneurial finance. *Journal of Corporate Finance, 66*, 101901. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcorpfin.2020.101901
10) Kshetri, N. (2021). Fintech and financial inclusion: The role of regulation and trust. *Telecommunications Policy, 45*(10), 102236. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.telpol.2021.102236

11) Lai, P., Lin, F., & Tseng, L. (2014). High-speed rail, technology adoption, and urban development in emerging economies. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 88, 63–75. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2013.07.012
12) Mills, K. G., & McCarthy, B. (2021). *Fintech, small business & the American dream: How technology is transforming lending and shaping a new era of small business opportunity.* Springer.
13) Popay, J., Roberts, H., Sowden, A., Petticrew, M., Arai, L., Rodgers, M., & Duffy, S. (2006). *Guidance on the conduct of narrative synthesis in systematic reviews: A product from the ESRC Methods Programme.* Lancaster University.
14) Suri, T., & Jack, W. (2016). The long-run poverty and gender impacts of mobile money. *Science, 354*(6317), 1288–1292. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aah5309
15) UN Women. (2021). *Beyond COVID-19: A feminist plan for sustainability and social justice*. United Nations. https://www.unwomen.org/en/digital-library/publications/2021/09/beyond-covid-19-a-feminist-plan-for-sustainability-and-social-justice
16) Wagner, B. (2018). Ethics as an escape from regulation: From ethics-washing to ethics-shopping? In M. Hildebrandt (Ed.), *Being profiled: Cogitas ergo sum* (pp. 84–89). Amsterdam University Press. https://doi.org/10.2307/j.ctv7xbr7q.10
17) World Bank. (2022). *Digital financial services*. https://www.worldbank.org/en/topic/financialinclusion/brief/digital-financial-services
18) Zetzsche, D. A., Buckley, R. P., Arner, D. W., & Barberis, J. N. (2020). The future of data-driven finance and RegTech: Lessons from EU Big Bang II. *Journal of Financial Regulation, 6*(2), 177–205. https://doi.org/10.1093/jfr/fjaa009